



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,291	01/22/2001	Joe W. Gray	81906-719168 (175400US)	9405
20350	7590	01/10/2013		
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			BRUSCA, JOHN S	
EIGHTH FLOOR				
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2013	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipefiling@kilpatricktownsend.com
jlhice@kilpatrick.foundationip.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/765,291	GRAY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN S. BRUSCA	1631		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JOHN S. BRUSCA (USPTO). (3) _____.
 (2) Jean Lockyer. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 January 2013.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The applicants were informed that the declaration under 37 CFR 132 overcomes all grounds of rejection. The applicants were informed that the drawings submitted on 02 March 2012 were not entered as color drawings in the application file and that the Office could not approve the petition for color drawings until color drawings were present in the application file. It appears that the drawings received 02 March 2012 were color drawings that were scanned only as black and white drawings through error by the Office. The applicants stated that they would resubmit the color drawings for entry into the application file.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/JOHN S BRUSCA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631	
--	--